Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

OCT 3 1 2005

Federal Communications Commission

Office of Secretary

FLORIDA CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION, INC., COX COMMUNICATIONS GULF COAST, L.L.C., et. al.

E.B. Docket No. 04-381

Complainants,

v.

GULF POWER COMPANY,

Respondent.

To: Office of the Secretary

Attn.: The Honorable Richard L. Sippel Chief Administrative Law Judge

GULF POWER'S FINAL REPORT ON POLE SURVEY

Gulf Power Company ("Gulf Power"), pursuant to the Presiding Judge's March 30, 2005 Scheduling Order, submits this Final Report On Pole Survey:

- 1. <u>Number of poles to be surveyed</u>: Gulf Power estimated that approximately 150,000 of the 235,000 poles in its system were joint use poles. Gulf Power's original goal, as set forth in the Osmose Statement of Work, was to conduct an audit of all 150,000 joint use poles.
- 2. <u>Number of poles actually surveyed to date</u>: 9,663. These poles are principally in the Pensacola area (most of which is served by Cox). Gulf Power plans to survey an additional 5,000+ poles. But due to Osmose's availability, this work may not be completed until January 2006. Gulf Power will seek to amend its Final Report when this work is completed.

Hist A S C D E

799134.1

3. Number of poles estimated being at full capacity: Of the 9,663 poles actually collected, 7,120 were identified as "crowded" (as that term is defined in the Osmose Statement of Work). The percentage of "crowded" poles is 73.68%. This percentage, applied to Gulf Power's entire system, would yield 110,525 "crowded" poles. As applied specifically to complainants, the estimated number of crowded poles is as follows:

	Cox	Comcast	Mediacom	Brighthouse
Total Poles (2005)	66,727	22,503	17,059	14,395
Estimated Number of "crowded" poles	49,164	16,580	12,569	10,606

- 4. <u>Problems encountered with survey</u>: Aside from the weather problems noted in prior Status Reports, Gulf Power also encountered an unexpectedly high number of "crowded" poles. As set forth in the Osmose Statement of Work, the work-up on a "crowded" pole cost Gulf Power \$20.25/pole versus \$2.65/pole for non-"crowded" poles. When a meaningful representative sample of poles revealed "crowding" at a rate of almost 75%, an audit of the entire system became cost prohibitive. Ironically, there are simply too many "crowded" poles for Gulf Power to audit its entire system on a pole-by pole basis within the time allotted by the Scheduling Order.
- 5. <u>Time estimated for completing survey</u>: Gulf Power intends to survey an additional 5,000+ poles. Osmose has indicated that, due to their resources (largely a function of storm related activities), it may be as late as January 2006 before the work can be completed.
- 6. <u>Summary of conclusions</u>: 73.68% of Gulf Power's joint use poles are "crowded" or at "full capacity." This percentage is absolutely accurate for the 9,663 poles collected, highly

Gulf Power had estimated, prior to beginning the survey, that approximately 25% of its joint use poles would meet the narrow, conservative definition of "crowded" set forth in the Osmose Statement of Work.

accurate for Gulf Power's largest attacher (Cox), and reasonably accurate for the areas served by the other three complainants (Comcast, Mediacom and Brighthouse). The estimated number of "crowded" poles for each complainants is set forth in the table at paragraph 3, above.

- 7. Persons participating in preparation of Final Report: The data within the report comes from Osmose. The key Osmose employees involved in this project are David Tessieri (project development/deployment), David Barker (project and field coordinator), and Ken McVearry (data compilation/analysis). Osmose used contractors for the day-to-day field work on this project. The key Gulf Power employees who were involved with this project were Ben Bowen and Eddie Dixon. Counsel for Gulf Power also was involved in the project and the preparation of this written report.
- 8. <u>Testimony</u>. The Osmose employee(s) who may offer testimony regarding the pole survey are David Tessieri, David Barker and Ken McVearry.

J. Russell Campbell

Eric B. Langley

Nathan D. Chapman

BALCH & BINGHAM, LLP

1710 Sixth Avenue North

Birmingham, Alabama 35203-2015

Telephone: (205) 251-8100 Facsimile: (205) 226-8798

Ralph A. Peterson

BEGGS & LANE, LLP

P.O. Box 12950

Pensacola, Florida 32591-2950

Telephone (850) 432-2451

Facsimile: (850) 469-3331

Counsel for Respondent

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of this Final Report On Pole Survey has been served upon the following by Electronic Mail and by United States Mail on this the day of October, 2005:

Lisa Griffin Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554 Via E-mail	Shiela Parker Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554 Via E-mail
Rhonda Lien Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554 Via E-mail	Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary 445 12th Street, SW Washington, D.C. 20554
James Shook Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554 Via E-mail	David H. Solomon Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554
Director, Division of Record and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Docket Room 1A-209 888 First Street, NE Washington, D.C. 20426
John D. Seiver Geoffrey C. Cook Rita Tewari COLE, RAYWID & BRAVERMAN 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 200 Washington, D.C. 20006 Via E-mail	John W. Berresford Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554 Via E-mail

OF COUNSEL

OF COONSE